

James W. Cicconi General Counsel and Executive Vice President Law & Government Affairs Suite 1000 1120 20th St. NW Washington, DC 20036 202 457-2233 FAX 202 457-2244

January 30, 2003

Via Electronic Filing Ms. Marlene H. Dortch Secretary Federal Communications Commission 445 12<sup>th</sup> Street, SW, Room TWB-204 Washington, DC 20554

Re: In the Matter of Review of Section 251 Unbundling Obligations of Incumbent Local Exchange Carriers and Implementation of the Local Competition Provisions in the Local Telecommunications Act of 1996; CC Docket Nos. 01-338, 96-98, 98-147

In the Matter of Appropriate Framework for Broadband Access to the Internet Over Wireline Facilities, CC Docket Nos. 02-33, 95-20, 98-10

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Yesterday, during a brief conversation, I discussed with Commissioner Kevin J. Martin matters related to the referenced proceedings. In particular, for the reasons set forth in AT&T's written submissions, I disagreed with the notion that freeing the Bell companies of their unbundling obligations would give them added incentive to make new investments to create additional bandwidth capacity in their networks. I also noted that such views could not justify deregulation for bandwidth that is readily achievable in the existing network. My comments were consistent with AT&T's written submissions in the referenced proceedings.

One electronic copy of this Notice is being submitted for the referenced proceedings in accordance with the Commission's rules.

Sincerely,

cc: Hon. K. Martin

